

Nonstoichiometry, Defects and Transport Properties in MgB_2

Y. Y. Xue, R. L. Meng, B. Lorenz, J. K. Meen, Y. Y. Sun and C. W. Chu*

TCSUH, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-5932 (also at*

LBNL, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720)

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The local composition Mg:B of MgB_2 powder was systematically changed through annealing. Correlations were observed between the Mg loss and the lattice parameters a/c and the microstrain of MgB_2 . Direct wavelength-dispersive-spectrum and XRD measurements on ceramic samples with different residual resistivity ratios suggested that the transport property of MgB_2 might be affected by this Mg-deficiency, but oxygen-related defects may play a more important role.

One unsolved issue in the transport property of the newly discovered superconductive MgB_2 is its apparent sample-dependency. The reported resistivity at room-temperature, $\rho(297\text{ K})$, ranges from 9.6 to 100 $\mu\Omega\text{cm}$, and that at 40 K, $\rho(40\text{ K})$, from 0.38 to 21 $\mu\Omega\text{cm}$.^{1,2} Both the $\rho(297\text{ K})$ and the residual resistivity ratio, $\text{RRR} = \rho(297\text{ K})/\rho(40\text{ K})$, vary by a factor of ten among ceramic samples purported to be high-quality. In a closely related way, the reported magnetoresistance, $\Delta\rho/\rho = [\rho(40\text{ K}, 5\text{ T}) - \rho(40\text{ K}, 0\text{ T})]/\rho(40\text{ K}, 0\text{ T})$, of ceramic MgB_2 samples varies from <1% to 60% (*i.e.* more than 100 times),³ and the temperature dependency of the resistivity varies from T^2 to T^3 .⁴ While the $\rho(297\text{ K})$ of a ceramic sample may depend on its porosity and grain coupling, the large variations in RRR and $\Delta\rho/\rho$ may require dense lattice defects. Resistances of grain-boundaries typically will only add a constant in ρ , which should lead to a linear correlation of $\Delta\rho/\rho \propto 1/\text{RRR}$ instead of the $\Delta\rho/\rho \propto (1/\text{RRR})^2$ observed.⁵ The sample dependency has been previously attributed to either the pressure or the thermal history used during the synthesis.^{4,6} However, the fact that similar low RRR and negligible magnetoresistance have been observed in samples synthesized both with and without high-pressures challenges the interpretation. The mechanism responsible for the differences needs to be explored.

It is interesting to note that the local composition, *i.e.* both the atomic ratio B:Mg and the possible oxygen contamination, is still a topic of debate. Cooper *et al.* proposed in 1970 that the boron in borides AB_2 might be nonstoichiometric,⁷ and Zhao *et al.* reported that the superconducting temperature, T_C , of MgB_2 changes significantly with different starting compositions of Mg:B.⁸ Most MgB_2 samples, it should be pointed out, were synthesized with a stoichiometric starting composition. Noticeable amounts of MgO and/or Mg are either frozen inside the samples or deposited on the walls of the sample containers. MgB_2 samples, therefore, could be non stoichiometric. The facts that Au foil, which is typically used in high-pressure synthesis, can easily absorb Mg, and the samples with large RRR were synthesized using excess Mg,¹ may further enhance the suspicion. On the other hand, the EELS data of Zhu *et al.* shown that the local Mg:B is stoichiometric in their samples with a variation less than $\pm 2\%$.⁹ The Mg-stoichiometry and its effect on transport property, therefore, may still be open questions.

Oxygen contamination has also been widely discussed, in particular in terms of the T_C degrading of MgB_2 films.¹⁰ However, the T_C of a ceramic with a grain size of 1 μm or larger typically will not be affected unless the contamination causes lattice defects and/or microstrain. Zhu *et al.* have shown that MgO can exist inside MgB_2 grains as stacking faults and create microstrains.⁹ The effects of these faults, consequentially, will depend on their morphology (therefore, oxygen concentration, diffusion and synthesis temperatures). It should be cautioned, that the Mg powder/chips used may also function as an oxygen

getter, and the oxygen related defects may also be affected by the starting composition.

To explore the topic, we measured X-ray diffraction (XRD), composition and resistivity of several MgB_2 ceramic samples. A systematic correlation among RRR, the lattice distortion (microstrain) and intragrain oxygen-concentration was observed. The local ratio of Mg:B of two ceramic MgB_2 samples with rather different RRR, however, is almost the same. These suggest that the transport property of MgB_2 is dominated by the oxygen related defects. It should be pointed out, however, a $\leq 5\%$ Mg off stoichiometry was observed in MgB_2 powders annealed at low temperatures. An accompanied systematic change of the lattice parameters/microstrain further suggested that this off stoichiometry may also create lattice defects, although the fast diffusion of Mg/B may make such defects disappear and play only minor roles in typical MgB_2 ceramic.

Ceramic MgB_2 samples were prepared using the solid-state reaction method.³ A mixture of small Mg chips (99.8% pure) and B powder (99.7% pure) were sealed inside a Ta tube under an Ar atmosphere. The sealed Ta ampoule was then enclosed in a quartz tube. The assembly was heated slowly up to 950 °C followed by rapid quenching. Various starting Mg:B ratios were used to obtain samples with different RRR's. Unfortunately, the impurity phases in the samples so prepared make a quantitative Mg stoichiometry-control difficult. Several Mg-deficient samples, therefore, were made by vacuum annealing commercial MgB_2 powder (Alfa, 98% pure). The structure was determined by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) using a Rigaku DMAX-IIIB diffractometer with a Cu target. The refinement was done using the Reitan-94 program.¹¹ The resistivity was measured through the standard 4-probe method using a R-700 *ac* bridge. Analysis of the magnesium boride grains was done by electron microprobe analysis (EMPA) at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and 30 nA beam current using a JEOL JXA 8600 electron microprobe with attached Wavelength Dispersive Spectrometers (WDS).

To answer the question of whether MgB_2 can be microscopically non-stoichiometric, commercial Alfa MgB_2 powder was annealed in pre-vacuumed quartz tubes at various temperatures between 700 and 900 °C followed by rapid quenching. The weight loss during the annealing was measured. X-ray powder diffraction was then performed and the data refined as a mixture of MgB_2 (space group I-191) and MgO (I-225) with only the line-profile parameters, the phase composition MgO/MgB_2 , the lattice constants of MgB_2 , and the isotropic thermal factor adjustable. The lines of impurity phases other than MgO were not used during the refinement. A typical data set is shown in Fig. 1. Typically, the R-pattern, R_p , the goodness-of-fit, S , and the R-structure factor of MgB_2 , R_F , are 4, 1.4, and 2, respectively, an indication of reasonable agreement.¹² It was noted, however, that the spread of the refined parameters was larger than the mathematical uncertainty σ given by the program. The lattice constants, for example, can spread over ± 0.0005 Å from one sample to another with the same Mg deficiency while the σ is only ± 1 to $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ Å. To estimate the experimental resolution, therefore, the samples with the same weight loss were prepared and measured repeatedly. The data spread was used as the experimental resolution in following figures. During the annealing, the fraction of MgO seemed to increase from 8% of the Alfa powder to $\approx 14\%$ of the annealed samples. The weight loss and the ratio MgO/MgB_2 , therefore, were combined to calculate the change of the Mg composition in MgB_2 , *i.e.* the $1-x$ in $\text{Mg}_{1-x-x_0}\text{B}_2$, assuming that the Alfa powder is $\text{Mg}_{1-x_0}\text{B}_2$. Other impurity phases, such as MgB_4 , appear when $1-x < 0.86$ and this estimation can no longer be used. It should be noted

that it might also be possible that the Mg deficiency caused by the formation of MgO might be spatially very inhomogeneous, and the 1-x assumed could have a systematic shift as large as 0.06.

A noticeable trend was observed in a decrease of the lattice parameter c , and an increase of a with increasing of 1-x (Fig. 2). To verify that this change is not due to some unknown effect of the heat treatment, metal Mg chips were added to one of the quartz tubes to prevent Mg-loss during the annealing. The weight loss and the change in the MgO fraction observed were indeed the same as those of the initial Alfa powder. The a and c of this Mg-added sample (the triangles in Fig. 2) are identical to those of the Alfa powder, demonstrating that Mg-loss during the anneal is the main cause of the change in lattice parameters. This systematic change of a/c will not be possible without a noticeable off-stoichiometry of Mg, which is different from the almost ideal composition observed by Zhu *et al.*,⁹ although the missing or intercalation of boron/magnesium planes observed there show that an off-stoichiometry should be possible in principle. We attribute it to the differences in sample-preparation, *e.g.* the lower annealing temperature used here may freeze the Mg- and B-related defects. It should be noted that no significant variation in a/c was observed with 1-x < 0.85, suggesting a phase-stability boundary around Mg:B $\approx$ 0.85:2. Variation of a/c was reported previously with a much broader Mg:B range (0.5:2 to 2:2).⁸ Significant impurity phases, *e.g.* MgB₄, in the samples may cause the difference based on the reported XRD.

A more subtle but important change associated with the Mg-loss is the broadening of the XRD lines. The line width significantly increases with the loss of Mg (Fig. 3), although it is already much larger than our instrumental resolutions in the initial Alfa powder. It should be noted that the true change in the line profile would be much more prompt if there were no interference between the Cu K $_{\alpha 1}$ and K $_{\alpha 2}$ lines. The significant contribution from the K $_{\alpha 2}$ line, unfortunately, makes a detailed analysis of the anisotropic strain impractical. We, therefore, have to depend on the Rietveld refinement. An isotropic broadening was assumed, *i.e.* the line profile was fitted with the pseudo-Voigt function of the scattering angle 2θ .¹² Mathematically, the function is a convolution of a Lorentz function with a Gauss function. To deduce the instability of the nonlinear regression, the variance σ^2 of the Gauss function was taken as $U \tan^2 \theta + V \tan \theta + W$, *i.e.* ignoring the Scherrer term $P \sec^2 \theta$ since it is rather small anyway. The parameters V and W , which are not expected to be sample-dependent, were further fixed to the values obtained in a calibration run with well-crystallized Al₂O₃ powder. Similarly, the full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of the Lorentz function H_{kL} was assumed to be $X \cdot \sec \theta + Y \cdot \tan \theta$, *i.e.* ignoring the anisotropic broadening terms X_e and Y_e .¹² Previously, the parameters U and Y , *i.e.* the components varying as $\tan \theta$, were used to characterize the microstrain, *i.e.* either a modulation of local lattice parameters or their domain-to-domain variation. The $\sec \theta$ component X , on the other hand, was generally used to describe the size of the structural domains.

The U and Y of the annealed powders are shown in Fig. 4. While U seems to increase with x linearly over the whole range probed, Y seems to be noticeably affected only beyond a threshold Mg loss of $x \approx 0.1$. The line profile seems to be dominated by the Gauss components in the slightly Mg-deficient samples, but by the Lorentz components in the heavily Mg-deficient ones. The value of X , on the other hand, shows no systematic change with x . The widening of the XRD lines, therefore, is mainly related to the local variation of the lattice parameters. We further attribute it to the non-stoichiometry of MgB₂ based on

the systematic changes of the a and c with the x observed. To qualitatively estimate this microstrain, the overall FWHM of the pseudo-Voigt functions with the U and Y equal to the observed values was calculated as H_k , and that with $U = Y = 0$ as H_{k0} . The contribution of microstrain was then taken as $\Delta\theta = \sqrt{H_k^2 - H_{k0}^2}$. The weighted relative variation of the a and c (the microstrain), therefore, should be of the order of $\varepsilon = \Delta\theta/\tan\theta$. The microstrain so-calculated is almost θ -independent between $\theta = 10^\circ$ and 40° in a given sample, as expected. A systematic increase of ε with the loss of Mg was observed (Fig. 5). It seems that the Mg-loss is extremely non-uniform, and the resulting local defects could serve as scattering centers for the carriers.

Despite their differences in composition and lattice parameters, however, the superconductivity measured on these samples were almost the same (Fig. 6). The onset of the zero-field-cooled magnetization, M_{ZFC} , is 39 K and the transition width (10% to 80% of M_{ZFC} at 5 K) differs by less than 0.5 K from sample to sample. This may be understood in terms of percolation and the fact that MgB_2 , as a S-wave BCS superconductor, should not be sensitive to defects. The observation that Mg nonstoichiometry alone will not cause large T_C change is also supported by our data on several samples synthesized with starting composition Mg:B from 0.5:2 to 2.4:2.¹⁴ It is interesting to note that the field-cooled magnetizations, M_{FC} , were also sample-independent. The similar vortex pinning strength suggests that the length scale between defects might be comparable or smaller than the coherent length (≈ 60 Å for MgB_2).

Unfortunately, no transport measurement can be made in these annealed samples, which were made in the powder form to ensure the uniform loss of Mg. Therefore, additional ceramic samples were purposely made with different starting compositions. These samples show different RRR. The $\rho(T)$ of two typical samples with RRR = 2.5 (Sample A) and 7 (Sample B), respectively, are shown in Fig. 7. Sample B was synthesized with extra Mg-chips and a start composition of Mg:B=1.25:2. It is expected, therefore, that Sample B should be relatively Mg-rich and O-free.

The c (a) is 3.525 and 3.519 Å (3.084 and 3.085 Å) observed for Sample A and B, respectively. While the shorter c of Sample B seems to be in qualitative agreement with the assumption that it is Mg-rich, the similar a observed suggests that other defects may also play a role. In fact, the estimated 1- x of Sample B would be 1.2 based on its c , but 1.03 based on the a using Fig. 2 as the calibration curve. To further explore the topic, a/c of several ceramic samples with RRR from 2.3 to 7 were measured (Fig. 8). When the c appears to decrease systematically with RRR, no clear correlation exists between the a and RRR. Defects other than Mg-deficiency seem to play a role, based on a comparison between Figs. 2 and 8.

To verify the Mg stoichiometry in Samples A and B, the samples were subjected to EMPA analysis for Mg, B, Si, and O, together with a cool-pressed Alfa MgB_2 powder. The observed ratio B:Mg $\approx$ 2.01:1 for the Alfa powder sample has a standard deviation of ± 0.04 ($\approx 2\%$) over 12 positions measured. Similarly, the ratio is $1.99 \pm 0.03:1$ for Sample A, but $2.06 \pm 0.17:1$ for Sample B. The greater variability of the composition of Sample B is plausibly the result of its much smaller grain size (< 2 μm in diameter). The regions in the grain boundaries introduces an additional complicating factor. It is interesting to note, however, that the point-to-point variation in Sample A and the Alfa powder still exceeds the precision of our analysis ($\approx 0.4\%$), although their grains are much larger. This may be

an indication of a real composition variability, and in agreement with the nonstoichiometry discussed above. In such a case, the data after the average should be much closer to the true value. The almost identical average compositions of $1-x \approx 1.01$ and 0.97 in Samples A and B, respectively, show that the nonstoichiometry may not be the dominating factor for the different RRR observed in ceramic samples. It is interesting to note that the Mg off-stoichiometry of these as-synthesized MgB_2 ceramic samples is rather small, which is in agreement with the EELS data of Zhu *et al.*⁹

However, a clear trend was observed between RRR and the microstrain ε . The raw XRD data already show that the line width of Sample B is significantly narrower (Fig. 3). The refinement further demonstrate that most of the narrowing comes from the decrease of U and Y (the microstrain terms). In fact, the combined ε is 0.004 , 0.01 , and 0.008 for Samples A and B and the Alfa powder, respectively. To verify the assumption, the ε of several ceramic samples with RRR from 2.2 to 7 was also measured (Fig 9). The ε decreases with RRR, and a strain $<0.2\%$ seems to be needed for $\text{RRR} \approx 20$. The residual resistance, therefore, seems to be related to a lattice distortion other than Mg nonstoichiometry.

Indeed, a correlation was observed between the RRR and local oxygen composition in several ceramic MgB_2 samples. The atomic ratio O/Mg, for example, was 0.15 ± 0.03 and 0.08 ± 0.03 for Samples A and B, respectively. It should be pointed out that the extra oxygen observed in Sample A is unlikely to come from separated MgO grains based on the observed grain size ($1\text{-}5\ \mu\text{m}$) and the estimated space resolution (see appendix). It is also difficult to attribute the contamination to surface oxide layers. Sample B, for example, has much smaller grain size and should have severe oxygen contamination if the oxygen is mainly from grain surfaces. We, therefore, suggest that oxygen may exist inside MgB_2 grains as defects, which is in agreement with the MgO/ MgB_2 stacking faults observed by Zhu *et al.*⁹ To verify that, the ratio O:Mg in other five samples were measured (Fig. 10). The trend is clear, although the data scattering is large.

In summary, our data suggest that MgB_2 can be nonstoichiometric up to 5-10%. Although this nonstoichiometry may affect the transport properties, oxygen related defects seem to play dominating roles in MgB_2 .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT This work is supported in part by NSF Grant, the T. L. L. Temple Foundation, the John and Rebecca Moores Endowment and the State of Texas through TCSUH, and in LBNL by DOE.

APPENDIX

Analysis of the magnesium boride grains was done by electron microprobe analysis (EMPA) using an accelerating voltage of $15\ \text{kV}$ and $30\ \text{nA}$ beam current. Samples were set in epoxy and polished to $1\ \mu\text{m}$ relief. Primary standards used were MgO for Mg and O, but pure boron for B. Peaks were counted for $100\ \text{s}$ and two backgrounds for $50\ \text{s}$ each for each element. A $20\ \mu\text{m}$ spot size was used for standards but a focused beam was used on the sample grains. Calculations based on electron-target atom interactions suggest that $>99\%$ of characteristic x-rays for samples are derived from a region with a $\approx 2.5\ \mu\text{m}$ diameter. Characteristic x-rays derived from boron atoms with an energy of $\approx 183\ \text{eV}$ are derived from the top $1.4\ \mu\text{m}$ of the sample. Those of Mg ($1253\ \text{eV}$) are from the top $1.7\ \mu\text{m}$. Oxygen x-ray is from the top $2.0\ \mu\text{m}$. Thus, the volumes sampled by the x-rays are similar for each element and are $\approx 10\ \mu\text{m}^3$.

Characteristic x-rays of each element were separated from other photons using

wavelength-dispersive spectrometers employing a crystal of thallium acid phthalate (Mg) and synthetic layered dispersive elements (B, O). The energy of the Mg $K\alpha$ x-rays are identical within reproducibility for standards and samples. The characteristic x-rays of both B and O do, however, indicate significant changes in energy between samples and standards and even between different orientations of the magnesium boride crystalline samples. This reflects differences in the energy levels occupied by the $2p$ electrons in these atoms. The spectrometer position required to maximize the characteristic B $K\alpha$ x-ray was, therefore, determined for each sample before each analysis. Careful determination of the FWHM of the x-ray peak indicated that the shape of the peak (as opposed to the mean energy) did not vary significantly from the pure B standard to the samples nor between the different samples.

The raw x-ray intensities were corrected using the on-line Geller iterative procedure. The resultant corrections were very large for B, in particular. Thus, the corrected fraction of boron in a sample was computed to be approximately ten times the fraction of boron estimated by comparing raw intensities of x-rays on sample and standard. The corrected fraction of Mg is typically 0.5 the raw estimate. These corrections reflect the large differences in inter-element correction factors between standards and samples. Commercial MgB_2 was therefore used as a secondary standard for all analyses. The secondary standard was carbon-coated at the same time as the samples in each case. Routine techniques allow control of the thickness of the carbon coat to within $\approx 10\%$ of the nominal 200 nm. The marked absorption of characteristic B x-rays by carbon warrants an even higher level of control and this was monitored by determining the intensity of boron x-rays emitted from the secondary standard.

REFERENCES

- ¹ P. C. Canfield, D. K. Finnemore, S. L. Bud'ko, J. E. Ostenson, G. Lapertot, C. E. Cunningham and C. Petrovic, cond-mat/0102289
- ² C. U. Jung, M. S. Park, W. N. Kang, M. S. Kim, S. Y. Lee and S. I. Lee, cond-mat/0102215.
- ³ S. L. Bud'ko, C. Petrovic, G. Lapertot, C. E. Cunningham, P. C. Canfield, M. H. Jung, A. H. Lacerda, cond-mat/0102413.
- ⁴ W. N. Kang, C. U. Jung, K. H. P. Kim, M. S. Park, S. Y. Lee, H. J. Kim, E. M. Choi, K. H. Kim, M. S. Kim and S. I. Lee, cond-mat/0102313.
- ⁵ X. H. Chen, Y. S. Wang, Y. Y. Xue, R. L. Meng, Y. Q. Wang and C. W. Chu, unpublished
- ⁶ C. E. Cunningham, C. Petrovic, G. Lapertot, S. L. Bud'ko, F. Laabs, W. Straszheim, D. K. Finnemore and P. C. Canfield, cond-mat/0103390.
- ⁷ A. S. Cooper, E. Corenzwit, L. D. Longinotti, B. T. Matthias and W. H. Zachariasen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 67, 313 (1970).
- ⁸ Y. G. Zhao, X. P. Zhang, P. T. Qiao, H. T. Zhang, S. L. Jia, B. S. Cao M. H. Zhu, Z. H. Han, X. L. Wang and B. L. Gu, cond-mat/0105053.
- ⁹ Y. Zhu, L. Wu, V. Volkov, Q. Li, G. Gu, A. R. Moodenbaugh, M. Malac, M. Suenaga and J. Tranquada, cond-mat/0105311.
- ¹⁰ H. Y. Zhai, H. M. Christen, L. Zhang, M. Parathaman, C. Cantoni, B. C. Sales, P. H. Fleming, D. K. Christen and D. M. Lowndes, cond-mat/0103618.
- ¹¹ F. Izumi, Rigaku J. 6, 10 (1989).
- ¹² For example, "The Rietveld Method", edited by R. A. Young (New York, Oxford University Press, 1993).
- ¹³ The value of P is rather small when set as free parameters in some test runs, and not expected to affect our conclusion significantly.
- ¹⁴ R. L. Meng *et al* unpublished.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The XRD pattern of the initial MgB_2 powder (98%, Alfa). $\cdot$: data; top solid line: Rietveld refinement; bottom solid line: residual; #: lines of MgO ; *: lines of unknown impurity.

FIG. 2. The lattice parameters a (open symbols) and c (filled symbols). ∇ , $\blacktriangledown$: initial Alfa powder; $\bigcirc$, $\bullet$: annealed in vacuumed tube; $\square$, $\blacksquare$: annealed together with Mg-chips.

FIG. 3. Line-profiles of the 002 line of MgB_2 at 51.8° . solid line: the initial Alfa powder; dashed line: an annealed powder; dotted line: a sample with a resistivity ratio $\text{RRR} = 5$; dotted-dashed line: the 024 line of a Al_2O_3 sample at 52.3° to show the instrumental resolution. The lines have been parallel shifted and normalized to emphasize the line width.

FIG. 4. The profile parameters U (open symbols) and Y (filled symbols). ∇ , $\blacktriangledown$: initial Alfa powder; $\bigcirc$, $\bullet$: annealed in tube; $\square$, $\blacksquare$: annealed together with Mg-chips.

FIG. 5. The estimated isotropic microstrain ε vs. Mg-loss of $1-x$. ∇ : initial Alfa powder; $\bigcirc$: annealed in tube; $\square$: annealed together with Mg-chips.

FIG. 6. M_{ZFC} (open symbols) and M_{FC} (filled symbols) of two sample with $1-x = 0$ ($\bigcirc$, $\bullet$) and 0.85 ($\triangle$, $\blacktriangle$), respectively.

FIG. 7. $\rho(T)$ of two typical samples. $+$: data; solid lines: $a+bT^n$ fits.

FIG. 8. The lattice parameters a ($\bullet$) and c ($\blacktriangledown$) as functions of RRR .

FIG. 9. The strain ε vs. RRR

FIG. 10. Oxygen concentration O/Mg vs. RRR

This figure "fig01.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig02.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig03.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig04.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig05.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig06.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig07.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig08.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig09.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>

This figure "fig10.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0105478v1>